1	MELINDA L. HAAG (SBN 132612) United States Attorney	
2	JOANN M. SWANSON (SBN 88143)	
2	Chief, Civil Division	
3	MICHAEL T. PYLE (SBN 172954) Assistant United States Attorney	
4	•	
5	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113	
	Telephone: (408) 535-5087	
6	Facsimile: (408) 535-5081 Email: michael.t.pyle@usdoj.	σον
7		
8	Attorneys for Prospective Intervenor United States of America	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 02264	
	AGSAVER LLC,	No. C 11- 00264 JCS
12	Plaintiff,)
13	riamini,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	V.	ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR THE UNITED STATES TO INTERVENE
	VALENT U.S.A. CORPORATION,	AND FILE BRIEF REGARDING
15	Defendant.) CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE
16		Hon. Joseph C. Spero
17		
	The parties, along with prospective intervenor the United States of America, stipulate to	
18	the following request made by counsel for the United States of America to obtain an additional	
19		
20	30 days in which to intervene to defend the constitutionality of 35 U.S.C. § 292 in this case.	
	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1(c) gives the Court the power to grant this additional time as	
21	it states in relevant part "Fulpless the court's	ets a later time, the attorney general may intervene
22	la sauces, in referant part, [ujiness the court's	on a fact time, the accorney general may intervene

30 days in which to intervene to defend the constitutionality of 35 U.S.C. § 292 in this case. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1(c) gives the Court the power to grant this additional time as it states, in relevant part, "[u]nless the court sets a later time, the attorney general may intervene within 60 days after the notice is filed or after the court certifies the challenge, whichever is earlier." The current deadline for moving to intervene is August 16, 2011, and counsel for the United States needs the requested additional time in order to obtain the necessary approval from the Solicitor General's office to intervene in this action. Giving the United States until September 16, 2011 to intervene would also mean that the United States would file its brief intervening in the case and defending the constitutionality of the statute on the same date that Plaintiff files its opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss. Counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant have agreed that this limited extension of time is acceptable.

Case 3:11-cv-02264-JCS Document 34 Filed 08/22/11 Page 2 of 2

The parties and the United States have also agreed, subject to the approval of the Court, 1 2 that Defendant Valent U.S.A. Corporation shall have until October 7, 2011 to file a single brief 3 responding to Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss and the brief anticipated to be filed by the United States on September 16, 2011. 4 5 Dated: August 11, 2011 Respectfully submitted, 6 **MELINDA HAAG** 7 United States Attorney 8 /s/ Michael T. Pyle 9 MICHAEL T. PYLE Assistant United States Attorney 10 Attorney for United States of America Dated: August 11, 2011 FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP 11 12 /s/ James W. Morando Counsel for Plaintiff Agsaver LLC. 13 **GORDON & REES LLP** Dated: August 11, 2011 14 15 /s/ Fletcher C. Alford Counsel for Defendant Valent U.S.A. Corporation. 16 17 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: 18 19 The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties and the United States, orders 20 that the United States shall have until September 16, 2011 to intervene and file a substantive brief in support of its defense of the constitutionality of 35 U.S.C. § 292 in this case. Defendant 21 22 Valent U.S.A. Corporation shall have until October 7, 2011 to file a a single brief responding to Plaintiff's opposition to the motion to dismiss and the brief to be filed by the United States. 23 24 8/22/11 DATED: 25 PERO HONORANDLE JOSEPH U.S. MA 26 Judge Joseph C. Sperc 27 28